Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/544,253	PARUPUDI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANH LY	2162
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>ANH LY</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jason F. Lindh (Reg. No.: 59,090)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2009	Time: <u>1:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed: claims 24, 48, 58, 60 and 62		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/A, L./ Examiner, Art Unit 2162	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/544,253

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative agreed to amend claims by removing all "at least" and "can be"; adding the "storage" in from of "media" and making the different or distinctable between first and second hierarchical tree structure in the claims. After updating the search if no relevant reference found, the amended claims would be allowed. /ALJ.